Uniform Asymptotic Stability of Functional Differential Equations with Infinite Delay

Abstract

Asian Resonance

In this paper criteria on uniform asymptotic stability is established for impulsive functional differential equations with infinite delay. It is shown that certain impulsive perturbations may make unstable systems uniformly stable, even uniformly asymptotically stable.

Keywords: Stability, Impulsive Delay Differential Equations, Razumikhin Technique, Lyapunov Function

Introduction

Impulsive differential equations arise naturally from a wide variety of applications such as aircraft control, inspection process in operations research and threshold theory in biology. Significant progress has been made in the theory of differential equations in recent years. But still there are number of difficulties one may face in developing the corresponding theory of impulsive delay differential equations. For example in the classical theory of delay differential equations, the fact that the continuity of a function x(t) in \mathbb{R}^n implies the continuity of the functional x_t in \mathbb{C}^n , plays a key role in establishing the existence of solution of delay differential equations [1]. However if the function x(t) is piecewise continuous, then the functional x_t need not be piecewise continuous. In fact, it can be discontinuous everywhere. Existence and uniqueness results for impulsive delay differential equations have been presented in [2]. In [6,7], by using Lyapunov functions and Razumikhin techniques, some Razumikhin type theorems on stability are obtained for a class of impulsive functional differential equations with finite delay. However as pointed out in [8-10] even though for functional differential equations without impulses, stability results established for equations with finite delay are not obviously true in general for infinite delays. The common and main difficulty is that the interval $(-\infty, t_0]$ is not compact and the images of a solution map of closed and bounded sets in $((-\infty, t_0], R^n)$ space may not be compact. Same situation arises in $((-\infty, t_0], R^n)$ space for impulsive differential equation with infinite delay. Recall that the stability theory of impulsive differential equations with infinite delays had received much attention in the literature [11-16].Here we extend the result develop in [3] to study infinite delay differential equations.

Aim of the Study

The purpose of present paper is to establish some criteria on uniform asymptotic stability for impulsive differential equations with infinite delay using Lyapunov functions and Razumikhin techniques.

Review of Literature

In past years there have been intensive studies on the stability of Impulsive Differential equations.In 1991, M. ramamohana Rao, investigates sufficient condition for uniform stability and uniform asymptotic stability of impulsive integro differential equations by constructing suitable piecewise continuous Lyapunov-like functionals without the decresent property. M.U.Akhmet,investigate the sufficient criteria for stability, asymptotic stability and instability for non-trivial solutions of the impulsive systems by Lyapunov's second method.Jianhua Shen and Jianli Li, investigates the sufficient criteria on asymptotic stability for system of volterra functional differential equations with nonlinear impulsive perturbations using Lyapunov like functions with Razumikhin technique or Lyapunov like functional.

S.K. Srivastava

Associate Professor, Deptt.of Applied Sciences, Beant College of Engineering and Technology, Gurdaspur, Punjab, India.

Neha Wadhwa

Assistant Professor, Deptt.of Applied Sciences, Amritsar College of Engineering and Technology, Amritsar, Punjab, India.

Neeti Bhandari

Research Scholar, Deptt.of Applied Sciences, IKG Punjab Technical University, Jalandhar, Punjab, India.

Preliminaries

Let R^n be a space of n dimensional column vectors $x = col(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ with some norm $\|.\|$. Let $I \subset R$ be any interval of the form [a, b] where $0 \le a < b \le \infty$ and $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an open set. Consider the system

x'(t) = F(t, x(.)), $t > t^*$ (2.1) $\Delta x(t_k) = I(t_k, x(t_k^{-}), k = 1, 2,)$ (2.2)

Where x'(t) denote the right hand derivative of x(t), $t^* < t_k < t_{k+1}$ with $t_k \to \infty$ as $k \to \infty$, $I: [t^*, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ and $x(t_k^-) = \lim_{t \to t_k^-} x(t)$

Define $PC(J, \mathbb{R}^n) = [x: J \to \mathbb{R}^n | x$ is continuous everywhere except at the points $t = t_k \in J$ and $x(t_k^{-})$ and $x(t_k^+) = \lim_{t \to t_k^+ \to 0} x(t)$ exist with $x(t_k^+) = x(t_k)$]

For any
$$t \ge \sigma$$
, $PC([\alpha, t], \mathbb{R}^n)$ will be written as $PC(t)$.

Define $PCB(t) = [x \in PC(t)|x]$ is bounded]. For any $\emptyset \in PCB(t)$, the norm of \emptyset is defined by $\|\emptyset\| = \|\emptyset\|^{[\alpha,t]} = \sup_{\alpha \le s \le t} |\emptyset(s)|$. For any $\sigma \ge t^*$ and $\emptyset \in PCB(\sigma)$ with equations (2.1) and (2.2), one associates an initial condition of the form

$$x_{\sigma}(t) = \emptyset(t); \ \alpha \le t \le \sigma$$
 (2.3)
Definition 2.1

The trivial solution of (2.1) is said to be

- stable if for every $\epsilon > 0$ and $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}^+$, there exists i. some $\delta = \delta(\sigma, \epsilon) > 0$ such that if $\varphi \in$ $PC([\alpha, t], \mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\|\varphi\|_r \le \delta$ and $x = x(\sigma, \emptyset)$ is any solution of (2.1) and (2.2) then $x(t, \sigma, \varphi)$ is defined and $||x(t, \sigma, \varphi)|| \le \epsilon$ for all $t \ge \sigma$
- Uniformly stable if δ in (i) is independent of σ ii.
- *iii.* Asymptotically stable if (i) holds and for any $\sigma \in$ R, there exists some $\eta = \eta(\sigma) > 0$ such that if $\varphi \in PC[(\alpha, t), R^n]$ with $\|\varphi\|_r \leq \eta$ then $\lim_{t\to\infty} x(t,\sigma,\varphi) = 0$
- iv. Uniformly asymptotically stable if (ii) holds and there exists some $\eta > 0$ such that for every $\gamma > 0$, there exist some $T = T(\eta, \gamma) > 0$ such that if $\varphi \in PC[(\alpha, t), \mathbb{R}^n]$ with $\|\varphi\|_r \leq \eta$ then $||x(t,\sigma,\varphi)|| \leq \gamma$ for all $t \geq \sigma + T$

Definition 2.2

A function x(t) is called a solution corresponding to σ of the initial value problem (2.1) – (2.3) if $x: [\alpha, \beta) \to R^n$ (for some $t^* < \beta < \infty$) is continuous for $t \in [\alpha, \beta) \setminus \{t_k, k = 1, 2, \dots\}, x(t_k^+)$ and (x_k^{-}) exist and $x(t_k^{+}) = x(t_k)$, and satisfies (2.1) – (2.3)

Under the following hypothesis $(H_1) - (H_4)$, the initial value problem (2.1) - (2.3) exists with unique solution which will be written in the form $x(t, \sigma, \varphi)$ (see [5])

(H₁) F is continuous on $[t_{k-1}, t_k) \times PC(t)$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots$ where $t_0 = t^*$. For all $\emptyset \in PC(t)$ and $k = 1, 2, \dots,$ the limit $\lim_{(t, \phi) \to (t_k^-, \phi)} F(t, \phi) = F(t_k^-, \phi)$ exists.

(H₂) F is locally Lipchitz in Ø in each compact set in PCB(t). More precisely, for any $\gamma \in [\alpha, \beta)$ and every compact set $G \subset PCB(t)$, there exist a constant $\left|F(t,\varphi(.))-F(t,\psi(.))\right| \leq$ $L = L(\gamma, G)$ such that $L \| \varphi - \psi \|^{[\alpha,t]}$ whenever $t \in [\alpha, \gamma]$ and $\varphi, \psi \in G$

(H₃) For each $k = 1, 2, ..., I(t, x) \in C([t^*, \infty) \times$ R^n, R^n) and for any $\rho > 0$, there exist a $\rho_1 > 0$ (0 <

Asian Resonance

 $\rho_1 < \rho$) such that $x \in S(\rho_1)$ implies that $x + I(t_k, x) \in$ $S(\rho)$ for $k \in Z^+$

(H₄) For any $x(t) \in PC([\alpha, \infty), \mathbb{R}^n), F(t, x(.)) \in$ $PC([t^*,\infty),R^n)$

For any $t \ge t^*, h > 0$ let $PCB_h(t) = P\{\emptyset \in$ $PCB(t): \|\emptyset\| < h\}$

We assume that $F(t,0) \equiv 0, I(t_k,0) \equiv 0$ so that $x(t) \equiv 0$ is a solution of (2.1) and (2.2), which we call the zero solution. Also, we will only consider the solutions $x(t, \sigma, \varphi)$ of equations (2.1) and (2.2).

Let us define following class of functions for later use:

 $K_1 = \{g \in C(R^+, R^+) | g(0) = 0 \text{ and } g(s) > 0 \text{ for }$ s > 0;

 $K_2 = \{g \in C(R^+, R^+) | g(0) = 0 \text{ and } g(s) > 0 \text{ for }$ s > 0 and g is non decreasing in s}.

Main Results

Theorem 3.1

Assume that there exist functions $a, b, c \in$ $K_1, p, q \in PC(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R}^+), g \in K_2 \text{ and } V: [t^*, \infty) \times S(\rho) \rightarrow$ R^+ , where V is continuous on $[t_{k-1}, t_k) \times S(\rho_1)$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots$, such that q(s) is non increasing with q(s) > 0 for s > 0. Assume that the following conditions hold:

i.
$$b(||x||) \le V(t, x(t)) \le a(||x||) \text{ forall}(t, x) \in [t^*, \infty) \times S(\rho)$$

i.
$$V'(t, x(t)) \le C(t)$$

11.
$$V(t, x(t)) \leq p(t)c(V(t, x(t)))$$
 for any solution $x(t) = x(t, \sigma, \varphi)$ of
(2.1) and (2.2) whenever $V(t, x(t)) > g(V(s, x(s)))$ for $max \{\alpha, t - q(V(t, x(t)))\} \leq s \leq t$.

 $V(t_k, x + I(t_k, x)) \le g(V(t_k^-, x))$ for each $k \in Z^+$ iii. and all $x \in S(\rho_1)$

 $\tau =$

iv.

$$\sup_{k \in Z^+} \{t_k - t_{k-1}\} < \infty, \quad M_1 = \sum_{k \in Z^+} \int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} p(s) ds < \infty$$

and $M_2 = \frac{\inf_{u>0} \int_{g(u)}^{u} \frac{ds}{c(s)} > M_1$

Then the zero solution of (2.1) and (2.2) is uniformly asymptotically stable.

Proof

Condition (i) implies $b(s) \le a(s)$ for all $s \in$ $[0, \rho]$. So let \hat{a} and \hat{b} be continuous, strictly increasing functions satisfying $\hat{b}(s) \le b(s) \le a(s) \le \hat{a}(s)$, for all $s \in [0, \rho]$. Then

 $\hat{b}(||x||) \le V(t,x) \le \hat{a}(||x||)(3.1)$

for all $(t, x) \in [t^*, \infty) \times S(\rho)$.

From the definition of (M_2) , we see that 0 < g(u) < u for all u > 0.

We first show the uniform stability.

Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given and assume without loss of generality that $\epsilon \leq \rho_1$. Choose a positive number $\delta = \delta(\epsilon) > 0$ so that $\delta < \hat{a}^{-1}(g(\hat{b}(\epsilon)))$ and note that $0 < \delta < \epsilon$. Let $\sigma \ge t^*$ and $\varphi \in PCB_{\delta}(\sigma)$ and x(t) = $x(t,\sigma,\varphi)$ be the solution of (2.1) and (2.2).

Let $(\sigma, \varphi) \in R_+ \times PC([t^*, 0], D)$ where $\|\varphi\|_r \leq \delta$.

Set V(t) = V(t, x(t)) and let $\sigma \in [t_{l-1}, t_l)$ for some $l \in Z^+$ where $t_0 = t^*$. We will prove that $||x(t)|| \le \epsilon$ for $\alpha \le t \le \sigma$. Suppose for the sake of contradiction that $||x(t)|| > \epsilon$ for some $t \in [\sigma, \infty)$. Then let $\hat{t} = \inf\{t \ge \sigma | \|x(t)\| > \epsilon\}$. Note that $\|x(t)\| < \epsilon$, we

see that $\hat{t} > \sigma$, $||x(t)|| \le \epsilon \le \rho_1$ for $t \in [\sigma, \hat{t})$ and either $||x(t)|| = \epsilon$ or $||x(t)|| > \epsilon$ and $\hat{t} = t_k$ for some k. In the latter case, $||x(t)|| \le \rho$ since $||x(\hat{t})|| \le \epsilon \le \rho_1$ and by our assumption on the functional I.Thus, in either case V(t) is defined for $[\alpha, \hat{t}]$.

For $t \in [\alpha, \hat{t}]$, define

$$m(t) = V(t, x(t)) \tag{3.2}$$

By the piecewise continuity assumption on V, it follows that $m \in PC([[\alpha, \hat{t}], R_+)$ and m(t) is continuous at each $t \neq t_k$ in $(\sigma, \hat{t}]$. By (3.1), we have

 $\hat{b}(||x(t)||) \le m(t) \le \hat{a}(||x(t)||)(3.3)$ for $t \in [\alpha, \hat{t}]$.

Thus

$$m(t) \le \hat{a}(\|\varphi\|_r) \le \hat{a}(\delta) < g(\hat{b}(\epsilon))$$
 for $t \in [\alpha, \sigma]$.

Let $\tilde{t} = \inf\{t \in [\sigma, \hat{t}] | m(t) \ge \hat{b}(\epsilon)\}.$ Since $m(\sigma) < g(\hat{b}(\epsilon)) < \hat{b}(\epsilon)$ and $m(\hat{t}) \ge \hat{b}(\epsilon)$, then $\tilde{t} \in (\sigma, \hat{t})$. Moreover $m(t) < \hat{b}(\epsilon)$ for $t \in (\sigma, \tilde{t})$. We claim that $m(\tilde{t}) = \hat{b}(\epsilon)$ and that $\tilde{t} \neq t_k$ for some k. Clearly we must have $m(\tilde{t}) \ge \hat{b}(\epsilon) > 0$. If $\tilde{t} = t_k$, for some k, then $0 < \hat{b}(\epsilon) \le m(\tilde{t}) \le g(m(\tilde{t}^{-})) < m(\tilde{t}^{-}) \le \hat{b}(\epsilon)$. By assumption (iii), which is impossible.

Thus $\tilde{t} \neq t_k$ for any k, and that in turn implies that $m(\tilde{t}) = \hat{b}(\epsilon)$, since m(t) is continuous at t.

Next we consider two possible cases:

Case I: $t_{l-1} \leq \sigma < \tilde{t} < t_l$. Let $\overline{t} = \sup\{t \in [\sigma, \tilde{t}] | m(t) \leq t_l < t_$ $g(\hat{b}(\epsilon))\}.$

> $m(\sigma) < g(\hat{b}(\epsilon)), \ m(\tilde{t}) = \hat{b}(\epsilon) \ge$ Since

 $g(\hat{b}(\epsilon))$ and m(t) is continuous on $[\sigma, \tilde{t}]$, then $\overline{t} \in [\sigma, \tilde{t}], m(\overline{t}) = g(\hat{b}(\epsilon)) \text{ and } m(t) \ge g(\hat{b}(\epsilon)) \text{ for } t \in$ $[\bar{t}, \tilde{t}]$. Hence for $t \in [\bar{t}, \tilde{t}]$ and $\alpha \leq s \leq t$, we have $g(m(s)) \le g(\hat{b}(\epsilon)) \le m(t)$. In view of condition (ii), we have for all $t \in [\bar{t}, \tilde{t}]$, $m'(t) \le p(t)c(V(t))$ and so

$$\int_{m(\bar{t})}^{m(\bar{t})} \frac{ds}{c(s)} \le \int_{\bar{t}}^{\bar{t}} p(s)ds \le \int_{t_{l-1}}^{t_l} p(s)ds \le M_1$$
(3.4)

However, we have $\hat{b}(\epsilon)$ $\int_{C} \frac{ds}{c(s)} = \int_{C} \frac{ds}{c(s)} \ge M_2$ (3.5) $g(\hat{b}(\epsilon))$

This contradicts the assumption $M_1 < M_2$

Case II: $t_k < \tilde{t} < t_{k+1}$ for some k. Then $m(t_k) \leq m(t_k)$ $g(m(t_k^{-})) \leq g(\hat{b}(\epsilon))$ by condition (iii). Similar to before, define $\bar{t} = \sup\{t \in [t_k, \tilde{t}] | m(t) \le g(\hat{b}(\epsilon))\}$ then $\bar{t} \in [t_k, \tilde{t}), \ m(\bar{t}) = g(\hat{b}(\epsilon)) \text{ and } m(t) \le g(\hat{b}(\epsilon)) \text{ for }$ $t \in [\bar{t}, \tilde{t}]$. Applying exactly the same argument as before yields a contradiction.

So, in either case, we obtain a contradiction, which proves that the zero solution of (2.1) and (2.2) is uniformly stable.

Next we show that it is uniformly asymptotically stable.

Since the zero solution of (2.1) and (2.2) is uniformly stable, then there exist some $\delta > 0$ such

Asian Resonance

that if $\varphi \in PCB_{\delta}(\sigma)$ then $||x(t)|| \le \rho_1, V(t) \le \hat{b}(\epsilon), t \ge \delta(\epsilon)$ α.

$$M = \sup\left\{\frac{1}{c(s)} \mid g\left(\hat{b}(\epsilon)\right) \le s \le \hat{a}(\rho_1)\right\}$$
(3.6)
and note that $0 < M < \infty$ and so

$$M_{2} \leq \int_{g(u)}^{u} \frac{ds}{c(s)} \leq M[u - g(u)]$$
(3.7)

from which we obtain $g(u) \le u - \frac{M_2}{M} \le u - d$ where $d = d(\epsilon)$ is chosen so that $d < (M_2 - M_1)/M$

Let $w = q(g(\hat{b}(\epsilon)))$ and N be the positive integer such that $\hat{a}(\rho_1) \leq \hat{b}(\epsilon) + Nd$ and define $T = T(\epsilon) = \tau + (w + \tau)(N - 1).$

Now we will prove that $||x(t)|| \le \epsilon$ for $t \ge \sigma +$ Τ.

Let

$$m(t) = V(t, x(t))(3.8)$$

for $t \ge \alpha$, then $m(t) \le \hat{b}(\rho_1)$ for $t \ge \alpha$. Define the indicies l_i for i = 1, 2, ..., N as follows:

Let $l_i = l$ and l_i be chosen so that $t_{l_i-1} <$ $t_{l_{i-1}} + w \le t_{l_i}$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$. Then $t_{l_1} = t_l \le \sigma + \tau$ and $t_{l_i} \le t_{l_i-1} + \tau \le t_{l_{i-1}} + w + \tau$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$. Let $0 < A - id \leq \hat{a}(\rho_1)$. We will prove that

$$m(t) \le A - id(3.9)_i$$

for $t > t_{l_i}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$.

To prove $(3.9)_i$, suppose for the sake of contradiction that there exist some $t \ge t_{l_1} = t_l$ for which m(t) > A - id. Then let $\tilde{t} = \inf\{t \ge t_l | m(t) > t\}$ A - id. Thus $\tilde{t} \in [t_k, t_{k+1})$ for some $k \ge l$. Since then $m(t_k) \le g(m(t_k^{-})) \le g(A) < A - id$ ĩ€ (t_k, t_{k+1}) . Moreover $m(\tilde{t}) = A - id$ and $m(t) \leq A$ for $t \in$ $[t_k, \tilde{t}]$. Let $\bar{t} = \sup\{t \in [t_k, \tilde{t}] | m(t) \le g(A)\}$. Since $m(\tilde{t}) = A > g(A) \ge m(t_k)$, then $\bar{t} \in [t_k, \tilde{t}], m(\bar{t}) = g(A)$ and $m(t) \ge g(A)$ for $t \in [\bar{t}, \tilde{t}]$. Thus for $t \in [\bar{t}, \tilde{t})$ and $\alpha \leq s \leq t$, we have $g(m(s)) \leq g(A) \leq m(t)$. So $g(m(s)) \leq m(t)$ for $t \in [\bar{t}, \tilde{t}]$ and $\max \bar{a} \alpha, t - q(V(t)) \leq c$ $s \le t$ }. By condition (ii), $m'(t) \le p(t)c(V(t))$ for $t \in [\bar{t}, \tilde{t}]$ and thus (3.4) holds true. However

$$\int_{m(t)}^{m(t)} \frac{ds}{c(s)} = \int_{g(A)}^{A-ia} \frac{ds}{c(s)} = \int_{g(A)}^{A} \frac{ds}{c(s)} - \int_{A-id}^{a} \frac{ds}{c(s)}$$
(3.10)

Since
$$\hat{b}(\epsilon) \le A$$
 then $g(\hat{b}(\epsilon)) \le g(A) \le A -$

id < A and so $\frac{1}{c(s)} \leq M$ for $A - id \leq s \leq A$. Thus from (3.10) we get

$$\int_{m(\bar{t})}^{m(\bar{t})} \frac{ds}{c(s)} \ge M_2 - \int_{A-id}^d M ds = M_2 - dM > M_2 - M2 - M2 - M1 = M1.$$

This contradicts (3.4) and so $(3.9)_i$ holds. This proves the first part.

The proof of second part is similar.

Suppose that $(3.9)_i$ holds for some $1 \le i \le N$. We prove that

 $m(t) \le A - (i+1)d, t \ge t_{l_{i+1}}.$ (3.9)_{i+1}

Assume for the sake of contradiction that there exist some $t \ge t_{l_i}$ for which m(t) > A - (i+1)d. Then define $\tilde{t} = \inf\{t \ge t_{l_i} | m(t) > A - (i+1)d\}$ and let

 $k \ge l_{i+1}$ be chosen so that $\tilde{t} = [t_k, t_{k+1})$. Since $\hat{b}(\epsilon) \le A - id \le \hat{a}(\rho_1)$ then g(A - id) < (A - id) - dand so $m(t_k) \le g(m(t_k^{-}) \le g(A - id) < A - (i + id))$ 1)*d*. Thus $\tilde{t} \in (t_k, t_{k+1})$.

Moreover $m(\tilde{t}) = A - (i+1)d$ and $m(t) \le A - (i+1)d$ (i+1)d for $t \in [t_k, \tilde{t}]$. Define \bar{t} as before. Since $m(\tilde{t}) = A - (i+1)d > g(A - id) \ge m(t_k)$ then $\overline{t} \in (t_k, \tilde{t}), \ m(\overline{t}) = g(A) \text{ and } \ m(t) \ge g(A) \text{ for } t \in [\overline{t}, \tilde{t}].$ Thus we obtain inequality (3.4) as before. However, $4 - (i \pm 1)$

$$\int_{m(t)}^{m(t)} \frac{ds}{c(s)} = \int_{g(A-id)}^{A-(i+1)d} \frac{ds}{c(s)} = \int_{g(A-id)}^{A-id} \frac{ds}{c(s)} - \int_{A-(i+1)d}^{A-id} \frac{ds}{c(s)}$$
(3.11)

Since
$$\hat{b}(\epsilon) \le A - id \le \hat{a}(\rho_1)$$
 then $g(\hat{b}(\epsilon)) \le id$

 $g(A - id) < A - (i+1)d < A - id \le \hat{a}(\rho_1)$ and $\operatorname{so}_{\frac{1}{c(s)}} \leq M$ for $A - (i+1)d \leq s \leq A - id$. Thus from (3.11), we get

$$\int_{m(\bar{t})}^{m(\bar{t})} \frac{ds}{c(s)} \ge M_2 - \int_{A-(\bar{t}+1)d}^{A-id} M ds = M_2 - dM > M_2 + M_1 - M_2 = M_1. \quad (3.12)$$

This contradicts (3.4) and so $(3.9)_{i+1}$ holds. By the induction, we know that $(3.9)_i$ holds for $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$. In particular, for i = N, we have

$$b(||x(t)|| \le V(t) = m(t) \le A - Nd \le \hat{a}(\rho_1)$$

$$Nd \le \hat{b}(\epsilon), t \ge \sigma + T \ge t_{l_N}.$$

Thus

 $||x(t)|| < \epsilon$ for $t \ge \sigma + T$.

This completes the proof.

Theorem 3.2

Assume that there exist functions $a, b, c \in K_1$, $p,q \in PC(\mathbb{R}^+,\mathbb{R}^+), g, \hat{g} \in K_2$ where $s \leq \hat{g}(s) \leq g(s)$ for s > 0 and $V: [t^*, \infty) \times S(\rho) \to R^+$, where V is continuous on $[t_{k-1}, t_k) \times S(\rho_1)$ for= 1,2, Assume that the following conditions hold:

- *i*) $b(||x||) \le V(t, x(t)) \le a(||x||)$ for $all(t, x) \in$ $[t^*,\infty) \times S(\rho)$
- ii) $V'(t,x(t)) \le -p(t)c(V(t,x(t)))$ for any solution $x(t) = x(t, \sigma, \varphi)$ of (2.1) and (2.2) whenever V(t, x(t)) > g(V(s, x(s))) for $\alpha \le s \le t$;
- iii) $V(t_k, x + I(t_k, x)) \leq \hat{g}(V(t_k^-, x))$ for each $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and $\operatorname{all} x \in S(\rho_1)$. .

$$\mu = \lim_{\substack{i \neq f \\ k \in \mathbb{Z}^+}} \{t_k - t_{k-1}\} > 0, \quad M_2 = \sup_{u>0} \int_u^{g(u)} \frac{ds}{c(s)} \text{ and } M_1 = \lim_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^+} \int_{t_k}^{t_k+1} p(s) ds > M_2$$

Then the trivial solution of (2.1) and (2.2) is uniformly asymptotically stable. Example: Consider the equation

$$\begin{aligned} x'(t) &= f(t, x(t)) + g(t, x(t - \tau)) \\ &+ \int_{-\infty}^{0} h(t, u, x(t + u)) du, \quad t \ge 0 \end{aligned} (3.13)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta x(t_k) &= I(t_k, x(t_k^{-})), k \in Z^+ \\ \text{where} \quad \tau > 0, f, g \in C(R^+ \times R, R), f(t, 0) \equiv \\ 0, |g(t, x)| &\le b(t) |x| (b \in C(R^+, R^+)), \ h(t, u, v) \end{aligned}$$
 is

Asian Resonance

 $R^+ \times (-\infty, 0] \times R, |h(t, u, v)| \leq$ on continuous $m(u)|v|, (m \in C(R^+, R^+))$ and $|x + I(t_k, x)| \le \lambda |x|$ for $x \in R$, where $0 < \lambda < 1$ is a constant. Suppose that there are constants $\mu > 0, L > 0$ such that

$$\frac{\left|\frac{f(t,x)}{x}\right| + \lambda^{-1} \left(b(t) + \int_{-\infty}^{0} m(u) du\right) \le L, t \ge 0,$$

$$x \ne 0 \tag{3.15}$$

$$-t_{k-1} \le \mu < -\frac{ln\lambda}{L}, k \in Z^+$$
(3.16)

In fact, from (3.15), we can choose a constant A > 0 and a continuous function $q: (0, \infty) \to (0, \infty), q$ is non increasing, $q(s) \ge \tau$ such that -q(s)

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} m(u)du \le A\sqrt{s}, t_k - t_{k-1} \le \mu < \frac{-2ln\lambda + A}{2(L+A)}$$

Let
$$V(t, x) = x^2$$
, $g(s) = \lambda^2(s)$, $c(s) = s$. Then
 $V(t_k, x + I(t_k, x)) = [x + I(t_k, x)]^2 \le \lambda^2 x^2$
 $= g(V(t_k^-, x))$
When $g(V(s, x(s)) < V(t, x(t))$, for $-\infty < s \le t$,
we have

$$V'(t, x(.)) \le 2x(t)f(t, x(t)) + 2b(t)|x(t)||x(t - \tau)| + 2|x(t)| \int_{-\infty}^{t} m(v - t)|x(v)|dv \\\le 2|x(t)|^{2} \left[\left| \frac{f(t, x(t))}{x(t)} \right| \right] + \lambda^{-1} \left(b(t) + \int_{-\infty}^{0} m(u)du \right)$$

 $\leq 2|x(t)|^2$ And

 t_k

$$\int_{g(u)}^{u} \frac{ds}{c(s)} = -2 \ln \lambda, \quad \int_{t_{k}}^{t_{k}+1} 2Lds \le 2L\mu < -2 \ln \lambda.$$

From Theorem 3.2, we see that the zero solution of (3.13) and (3.14) is uniformly stable. Without any loss of generality, we may assume that $||x||^{(-\infty,t]} \le 1$. Thus if

$$g(V(s, x(s)) < V(t, x(t)), \text{ formax} \to x - q(V(t, x(t))) \le s \le t.$$

We have
$$V'(t, x(.)) \le 2x(t)f(t, x(t)) + 2b(t)|x(t)||x(t - \tau)| + 2|x(t)| \int_{-\infty}^{t} m(v - t)|x(v)|dv$$
$$\le 2x(t)f(t, x(t)) + 2\lambda^{-1}b(t)|x(t)|^{2} + 2|x(t)| \int_{t-q(V(t, x(.)))}^{t} m(v - t)|x(v)|dv$$
$$+ 2|x(t)| \int_{t-q(V(t, x(.)))}^{t} m(v - t)|x(v)|dv$$

$$\leq 2|x(t)|^{2} \left[\left| \frac{f(t, x(t))}{x(t)} \right| \right] + \lambda^{-1} \left(b(t) + \int_{-\infty}^{0} m(u) du \right)$$
$$\xrightarrow{-q(V(t, x(.)))} + 2|x(t)| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} m(u) du$$
$$\leq 2(L+A)|x(t)|^{2}$$

≤ A $\int_{g(u)}^{u} \frac{ds}{c(s)} = -2 \ln \lambda, \quad \int_{t_k}^{t_k+1} 2(L+A) ds \le 2(L+A)\tau < 0$ $-2 \ln \lambda$.

From Theorem 3.1, the zero solution of (3.13) and (3.14) is uniformly asymptotically stable. Conclusion

In the present paper, we conclude that certain impulsive perturbations may make unstable systems uniformly stable, even uniformly asymptotically stable. References

- G. Ballinger and X. Liu, Existence and uniqueness results for impulsive delay differential equations, DCDIS 5 (1999), 579-591
- Seifert, Liapunov-Razumikhin conditions for G. asymptotic stability in functional differential equations of Volterra type, J. Difl. Eqs. 16 (1974), 45-52.
- J. Shen and J. Yan, Razumikhin type stability theorems for impulsive functional differential equations, Nonlinear Analysis 33 (1998), 519-531.
- J.H. Shen and J. Yan, Razumikhin type stability theorems for impulsive functional differential equations, Nonlinear Analysis 33 (1998), 519-531. J. Kato, Stability problems in

Asian Resonance

functional differential equations with infinite delays, Funkcial. Ekvac. 21 (1978), 63-80.

- J.R. Haddock, A friendly space for functional differential equations with infinite delay, In Trends in the Theory and Practice of Nonlinear Analysis, North-Holland, Amsterdam, (1985).
- J.K. Hale and S.M.V. Lunel, Introduction to finctional Diflerential Equations, Springer-Verlag, New York, (1993).
- M. Rama Mohana Rae and S.K. Srivastava, Stability of Volterra integro-differential equations with impulsive effect, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 103 (1992), 47-59.
- N.V. Azbelev, V.P. Maksimov and L.F. Rakhmatullina, Introduction to the Theory of Functional Differential Equations (in Russian), Nauka, Moscow, (1991).
- R. Grimmer and G. Seifert, Stability properties of Volterra integro-differential equations, J. Diff. Eqs. 19 (1975), 142-166.
- S. Zhang, Razumikhin techniques in infinite delay equations. Sci. Sinica A 32 (1989). 38-51.
- V. Lakshmikantham, L.Z. Wen and B.G. Zhang, Theory of Functional Differential Equations with Unbounded Delays, World Scientific, Singapore, (1995).
- X. Liu and G. Ballinger, Uniform Asymptotic Stability of Impulsive Delay Differential Equations, and Mathematics Computers with Applications 41 (2001), 903-915.
- X. Liu and G. B&linger, Uniform asymptotic stability of impulsive delay differential equations, Computers Math. Applic. 41 (7/8) (2001), 903-915.
- Z. Luo and J. Shen, Stability and boundednesa for impulsive functional differential equations with infinite delays, Nonlinear Analysis 46 (2001), 475-493.
- Z.Luo and J. Shen, Stability results for impulsive functional differential equations with infinite delays., J. Comput. and Applied Math. 131 (2001), 55-64.